REC'D	2.1	MAR	2006
WIPO			PCT

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY						
From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORI	TY	WIPO				
To: JAMES D. RYNDAK RYNDAK & SURI LLP 200 W. MADISON ST. SUITE 2100 CHICAGO, IL 60606	W.	PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)				
	Date of mailing	4.0.10.000				
	(day/month/year)	(day/month/year) 16 WAK 2000				
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
40400-12032						
	ternational filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/US05/35550 03 International Patent Classification (IPC) or bo	October 2005 (03.10.2005)	30 September 2005 (30.09.2005)				
	ti irational viassification and it					
IPC: A23L 2/02 USPC: 426/474, 477, 591, 599						
Applicant						
TROPICANA PRODUCTS, INC.						
1. This opinion contains indications relating	to the following items:					
Box No. I Basis of the opin	Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
Box No. II Priority						
	•	and the step and medsular applicability				
Box No. IV Lack of unity of	invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Box No. VI Certain document	nts cited	·				
Box No. VII Certain defects i	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
Box No. VIII Certain observat						
	total of the state					
International Preliminary Examining Au	uthority ("IPEA") except that this does PEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an ne International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) ered.				
If this opinion is, as provided above, con IPEA a written reply together, where app of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expire For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/22	ropriate, with amendments, before the exation of 22 months from the priority date,	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the piration of 3 months from the date of mailing whichever expires later.				
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT	/ISA/220.					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US	Date of completion of this opinion	Authorized officer ()				
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	24 February 2006 (24.02.2006)	Anthony Weier J. While				
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		Telephone No. 571-272-1700 CO				

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US05/35550

Box N	o. I Basis of this opinion				
1. With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed				
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).				
2. With inven	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed tion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
a,	type of material				
	a sequence listing				
	table(s) related to the sequence listing				
ъ.	format of material				
	on paper				
	in electronic form				
c.	time of filing/furnishing				
	contained in the international application as filed.				
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.				
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4. Addit	ional comments:				
	•				
	\cdot				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/35550

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING	AOIMOMI			
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule applicability; citations and expla	43 <i>bis.</i> 1(a)(i) anations suppo	with regard to novelty, in orting such statement	ventive step or in	ıdustrial
1. Statement				
Novelty (N)	Claims	4, 7, 8, 11, 14, 17, and 19		YES
	Claims	1-3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 10	6, 18, and 20	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	NONE		YES
	Claims	1-20	<u></u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-20	,	YES
	Claims	NONE		NO
2. Citations and explanations:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article therein all have industrial applicability because the solutions 1-3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16,1 18, and 20 la	subject matter cla ck novelty under	aimed can be made or used in PCT Article 33(2) as being a	industry. anticipated by Bened	lict et al.
Benedict et al discloses a juice preparation area and juice material, said juice then being pumped in a lin followed by passage to a holding tank and subseque packaging station). In addition, Benedict et al disclosine either before or after the pasteurization treatment.	e wherein the juint bottling (where sparging (with a sparging)).	ice is taken through a heat ex ein the holding tank and bott ith sintered metal gas spargin	changer for pasteuriz ling means are consi	zation and dered a part of a
Claims 4, 7, 8, 14, and 17 lack an inventive step und The claims differ in the particular filtering capacity of temperature conditions of pasteurization. However, skilled artisan, and it would have been obvious to on through routine experimental optimization.	of the sparger, the determination of	e size of the heat exchanger s f such limitations would have	surface, and the relate been well within the	e purview of a
Claims 11 and 19 lack an inventive step under PCT. The claims further call for the use of at least one spa station and another delivering the nitrogen at said pa pasteurization means. Spencer further teaches spargivariety of alternative places during juice processing showing of unexpected results, it would have been frover various steps in the process as a matter of prefer	rger in the passa ckaging station. ng of gases (incl including during urther obvious to	ge between two tanks, another Benedict et al discloses spar uding mixtures including nitre holding storage, pasteurization	or in the passage to so ging only once prior rogen) in juices may on, etc. (see paragrap	aid pasteurization to or after the be inputted in a ph 139). Absent a
over various suchs in the process as a matter of profe-	ioiso,			
·	•			
				•
			,	
orm PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2005)				

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING SUBMISSION OR TRANSMITTAL OF PRIORITY DOCUMENT

(PCT Administrative Instructions, Section 411)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

To:

RYNDAK, James, D.
Ryndak & Suri LLP
200 W. Madison Street
Suite 2100
Chicago, IL 60606
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

09 December 2005 (09.12.2005)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference 40400-12032	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION		
International application No. PCT/US2005/035550	International filing date (day/month/year) 03 October 2005 (03.10.2005)		
International publication date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 01 October 2004 (01.10.2004)		
Applicant	ANA PRODUCTS, INC. et al		

- 1. By means of this Form, which replaces any previously issued notification concerning submission or transmittal of priority documents, the applicant is hereby notified of the date of receipt by the International Bureau of the priority document(s) relating to all earlier application(s) whose priority is claimed. Unless otherwise indicated by the letters "NR", in the right-hand column or by an asterisk appearing next to a date of receipt, the priority document concerned was submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b).
- 2. (If applicable) The letters "NR" appearing in the right-hand column denote a priority document which, on the date of mailing of this Form, had not yet been received by the International Bureau under Rule 17.1(a) or (b). Where, under Rule 17.1(a), the priority document must be submitted by the applicant to the receiving Office or the International Bureau, but the applicant fails to submit the priority document within the applicable time limit under that Rule, the attention of the applicant is directed to Rule 17.1(c) which provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.
- 3. (If applicable) An asterisk (*) appearing next to a date of receipt, in the right-hand column, denotes a priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau but not in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b) (the priority document was received after the time limit prescribed in Rule 17.1(a) or the request to prepare and transmit the priority document was submitted to the receiving Office after the applicable time limit under Rule 17.1(b)). Even though the priority document was not furnished in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b), the International Bureau will nevertheless transmit a copy of the document to the designated Offices, for their consideration. In case such a copy is not accepted by the designated Office as the priority document, Rule 17.1(c) provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.

Priority date

Priority application No. Country or regional Office

Or PCT receiving Office

Of priority document

01 October 2004 (01.10.2004)

10/956,660

US

16 November 2005 (16.11.2005)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

SNELL Matthew

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 7090 Telephone No. +41 22 338 7117